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Time to diagnostic procedures and treatment in outpatients diagnosed of lung cancer (LC) included in our rapid diagnose protocol (RPD)

M. Isabel Andrade, Tamara Gutierrez, Amaia Iríñoy, José Antonio Cascante, Pilar Cebollero, V. Manuel Eguía, J. Javier Hueto. *Pneumology B Department, Complejo Hospitalario de Navarra, Pamplona, Navarra, Spain*

**Aim:** To determine the time to diagnose procedures and treatment in outpatients diagnosed of LC in Navarra (Spain) included in a RPD.

**Method:** Retrospective analysis of outpatients diagnosed of LC in our RPD from January 2006 to October 2010. The reference date was the day they were sent to our service. We analyzed time to CT, to bronchoscopy, to endobronchial ultrasound transbronchial needle aspiration (TB-EBUS), to transparietal fine needle aspiration (TFNA) and to Positron emission Tomography (PET), time to treatment and the hospitalization average.

**Results:** 70 patients were diagnosed of LC in our RDP; 80% were men, the mean age was 63.9; 68.5% were remitted from primary care (PC). The mean time to
the last diagnostic procedure was 22.5 days from PC and 16.4 from first visit in our RDPv (RPDpv). The table reflects time from PC* (or other remission service) or RDPv to each procedure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PC- RDPv</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDPv-CT</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDPv-CT</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDPv-TFN</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDPv-TFN</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDPv-TFN</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDPv-PET</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDPv-EBUS</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We use PET since 2006 and EBUS since October 2008. 75% of patients didn’t need hospitalization. The mean days, when needed, was 3.1 (1-8). Patients required surgical treatment in 22.8%, oncologic in 68.5% and Palliative Care as first choice in 8.7%. Using PC to treatment was 37.4 days (5-103) and from RDPv to treatment was 31.4 days (0-90).

Conclusions: With our RDP we have achieved a lower time delay to diagnosis and treatment (no difference between kind of treatment of LC compared with most of the existing recommendations. We haven’t need hospitalization in most cases and the mean of days was low.

4671 Self reporting of symptoms and delays in patients presenting to a rapid access lung cancer clinic (RALCC)

Matteo Ubezck, Colm Geraghty, Eleanor Duncan, Seamus Linnane, Ross Morgan. Respiratory Medicine, Beaumont Hospital, Dublin, Ireland

Introduction: The RALCC at our hospital is aimed at expediting the diagnosis of suspected thoracic malignancies.

Methods: A self-reported questionnaire to consecutive patients on their first visit. Patient’s perception of why they were attending, symptoms, duration before seeking medical attention, time to referral and risks for lung cancer recorded.

Results: 154 patients, 81 male, 73 female, mean age 63 years (21-86). GP’s made most referrals 87% and 68% perceived abnormal radiology as reason for attendance. Majority were symptomatic 86.6% with 57% reporting ≥ 3 symptoms. Cough was most common presenting symptom 61%, fatigue 52%, dyspnoea 45%, chest infection 45%, chest pain 40%, weight loss 32% and haemoptysis 25%. Haemoptysis had the least delay in presenting to a health care provider (mean 30 days, range 2-120) whereas for cough, dyspnoea and chest pain mean delay was 4.5 months. Average delay in seeking medical attention and referral to RALLC was 14 weeks. 72% were current or ex-smokers and 21% reported at least one first diagnosis delay resulting in better outcomes.

Conclusions: Significant delays exist between symptom onset and presentation to a health care provider and depends on symptom type. The bulk of the delay is due to patient delaying time to seek medical attention and delay in presenting to a health care provider. The majority of the patient pathway (68.4%) is spent in secondary centres. There is more variability in the processes of secondary centres but tertiary centres deliver significant delays in diagnosis resulting in better outcomes.

4672 Managing patient pathways to achieve lung cancer waiting time targets:

Mixed method study

Hugh Ig 1, Tarik Amer, Michael Dangoor, Alan Zamir, Darryl Gibbins-Isaac, Ranjeet Kochhar, Timothy Heymann 2. General Medicine, Guy’s and St Thomas’ Trust, London, United Kingdom; 3Health Management, Imperial College Business School, London, United Kingdom

Background: England’s NHS introduced a 62-day target, from referral to treatment, to make lung cancer patient pathways more efficient. This study aims to understand pathway delays that lead to breaches of the target when patients need care in both secondary and tertiary setting so more than one institution is involved.

Methods: Mixed method cross case analysis. Qualitative methods include pathway mapping and semi-structured interviews. Quantitative analysis of patient pathway times from cancer services records.

Setting: Two tertiary referral hospitals in London

Participants: Database records of 53 patients were analysed. 19 sets of patient notes were used for pathway mapping. 17 doctors, 4 nurses, 8 managers and administrators were interviewed.

Results: The majority of the patient pathway (68.4%) is spent in secondary centres.

Conclusions: There is more variability in the processes of secondary centres but tertiary centres do not have perfect processes either. Three themes emerged from discussions: information flows, pathway performance and the role of the multidisciplinary approach.

Conclusions: The actions of secondary centres have a greater influence on whether a patient breaches the 62-day target, compared to tertiary centres. Nevertheless variability exists in both, with potential for improvement.

4673 Lung cancer multi-disciplinary team (MDT) decisions audit

Brendan Mallia-Milanes 1, Jenny Graves 2, Jeffrey Mercham-Jones 2, Sujin Leong 3, Ian Mortimore 4, Steve O’Higgins 5, Phillip Perry 5. Respiratory Medicine, Hereford County Hospital, Hereford, United Kingdom; 2Thoracic Medicine Department, Gloucester Royal Hospital, Gloucester, United Kingdom; 3Respiratory Medicine, Cheltenham General Hospital, Cheltenham, United Kingdom; 4Respiratory Medicine, Worcester Royal Hospital, Worcester, United Kingdom

Background: Lung cancer resection and survival rates in the UK vary; the reasons for this are unclear.

Aims: To compare lung cancer MDT decision outcomes in 4 hospitals in one cancer network.

Methods: Each lung cancer MDT randomly selected 5 of their MDT cases and submitted them to the other MDTs for assessment. MDT decision outcomes for each case, including each MDT’s, own previously discussed MDT cases were collated. Mean percentage agreement of MDT outcomes was calculated for TNM staging and referrals for PET scan, curative surgery, radical radiotherapy and palliative chemotherapy.

Results: 3 hospital MDTs discussed 15 cases as well as having previously discussed their own 5 cases. 1 hospital submitted their 5 previously discussed cases but failed to discuss the other cases submitted to them. There were 17 non-small cell lung cancer cases, 2 indeterminate cases and 1 small cell lung cancer case. The number of cases referred for curative surgery varied between 6 to 7 cases per MDT. Percentage agreement was 83% for T staging, 91% for N staging, 98% for M Staging, 87% for referral for PET scan, 98% for curative surgery referral, 95% for radical radiotherapy referral and 93% for palliative chemotherapy referral.

Discussion: There was good agreement for staging and very high agreement for treatment referral. In this pilot study the high agreement for potentially curative treatment does not support the view that some MDTs are not referring patients for potentially curative treatment. As numbers are small we propose this issue be addressed by a national web-based quality assurance programme where each MDT assesses and reports sample cases each month and is given formative feedback.

4674 Histological typing of lung cancer in biotopically obtained specimens under the aspect of therapeutical approaches – A multi-center study

Annette Fisseler-Eckhoff 1, Raca Zanci 2, Pieter Langets 3, Philipp Schnabel 4, Iver Petersen 5, Klaus Junker 5. 1Deutsches Krebsforschungszentrum Heidelberg, Institute of Pathology, Heidelberg, Germany; 2Universitätsklinikum Hannover, Institute of Pathology, Hannover, Germany; 3Universitätsklinikum Heidelberg, Institute of Pathology, Heidelberg, Germany; 4Universitätsklinikum Jena, Institute of Pathology, Jena, Germany; 5Klinikum Bremen Mitte, Institute of Pathology, Bremen, Germany

The insufficiency of classifying lung cancer as SCLC or NSCLC clinical arose with the approval of the antifolate pemetrexed, which has a lower antitumorous effect in Squamous Cell Carcinoma. Often only biopsy specimens are available to include a squamous differentiation before chemotherapy decision.

The restrictive nature of subtyping lung cancer in biopsies demanded a skilled and experienced pathologist. This interlaboratory comparison should discover the accuracy of subtyping of lung cancer biopsies evaluated in 5 different pathological institutes in Germany. Is it possible to improve the accuracy histological typing by additional immunohistochemistry (IHC) panel?

60 biopsy specimens with Hematoxylin-eosin (HE) stain and immunhistochemical stained slides of at least Ck7, CK5/6, p63, TTF1 were assembled and analysed by the pathologists. An estimation of predominantly non-squamous yes/no and the histological subtype was done after examination the HE slide and again after the examination of the IHC. These two results were compared.

All 60 cases were analysed by all 5 participants. In average the agreement of 850s
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The lung cancer patient in the emergency department
Anne Pascale Meert, Julie Gorham, Thierry Berghmans, Jean Paul Sculier.
Thoracic Oncology and Intensive Care, Institut Jules Bordet, Brussels, Belgium

Introduction: – Currently, there are very few data in the literature on the causes of emergency department consultation for lung cancer patients, leading us to review the records of patients with lung cancer who presented at the emergency room of our cancer institute in order to determine the importance of emergencies and the main causes.

Methods: – We conducted a retrospective study including all patients with lung cancer consulting at the emergency department over a three years period (1st January 2008 and 31st December 2010).

Results: – Among 6575 consultations, 548 (8.3%) were selected, corresponding to 269 patients with lung cancer (out of 626 patients with lung cancer treated in our institution during the same period). Their main characteristics were: men/women 169/100, median age 61 years, non small cell lung cancer/small cell lung cancer 234/35, stage I/II/III/IV 7/3/42/217. The main reasons of consultation were respiratory symptoms (22.3%), fever (19.9%), pain (16.3%) and digestive symptoms (13.5%). Symptoms were due directly to cancer in 32.3%, to a cancer complication in 14.4% and to anticancer treatment in 20.3% of the cases.

The majority of the consultations lead to hospital admission: 54.5% were admitted in normal ward and 8.2% in the Intensive Care Unit. Median duration of hospitalisation was 9 days. Over the 344 hospitalisations, 61 deaths occurred (17.7%).

Conclusion: – Our study shows that lung cancer patients represent only 8% of the patients consulting in the emergency department. About half of the lung cancer patients have at least one consultation in emergency during their disease mainly for pain and respiratory problems.

A retrospective quality management analysis
Niels-Chr. G. Hansen, Anja Ør Knudsen. Department of Respiratory Medicine, Odense University Hospital, Odense, Denmark

National guidelines for post-therapy lung cancer care are about to be introduced in Denmark. At our hospital, “usual care” after radical surgery for non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) has previously been to suggest the patient to have a chest x-ray once a year. To prepare improved post-therapy care we have made a retrospective analysis of the 986 consecutive patients from the primary uptake area reported by our diagnostic unit to the Danish Lung Cancer Registry in the 5-year period 2005–2009. Of the 792 patients with NSCLC 227 (28.7%) were treated with curative intent. Out of these 153 (19.2% of all with NSCLC) had intended radical resection of the primary tumor and if needed chemotherapy, radiotherapy, and/or resection of a single secondary tumor to assure a radical primary treatment. Median age for the 75 women and 78 men was 66 years (range 37 to 85 years). Clinical stages I, II, IIIa, and IIIB+IV were found in 122, 19, 5, and 7 patients. Two patients died at day 14 and day 31 after surgery. Among the 151 remaining patients, we have until February 22, 2011 recorded a relapse in 62 patients and a new lung cancer in three patients. In one patient, the cancer was found at post mortem examination, in 48 patients clinical symptoms lead to the diagnosis while a scheduled control by chest x-ray, CT, PET-CT, bronchoscopy, or blood tests lead to the diagnosis in 16 cases. Twenty-eight patients (18.5%) had a relapse within one year from surgery. Median time to relapse after a scheduled test was 288 days against 478 days in patients diagnosed after symptoms. Scheduled post-therapy control for all NSCLC patients may considerably shorten the time to detection of a relapse.

predominantly-non-squamous with inspecting the HE slide was 50% and arose after IHC to 87%. The accordance of histological subtype arose from 58% after HE slide to 88% after IHC. Histological subtyping of lung cancer biopsies can be done reliably with the help of an immunhistochemical panel of CK5/6, CK7, TTF1 and p63. Thus, we recommend the use of IHC to ensure diagnosis of lung cancer biopsies especially for patients coming into consideration for pemetrexed chemotherapy.