Malignant pleural effusions are a common clinical problem in patients with neoplastic disease. In one post mortem series, malignant effusions were found in 15% of patients who died with malignancies [1]. Although there have been no epidemiological studies, the annual incidence of malignant pleural effusions in the United States is estimated to be >150,000 cases (table 1) [2–17]. Malignant pleural effusion is also one of the leading causes of exudative effusion; studies have demonstrated that 42–77% of exudative effusions are secondary to malignancy [18, 19].

Aetiology and pathogenesis

Nearly all neoplasms have been reported to involve the pleura. In most studies, however, lung carcinoma has been the most common neoplasm, accounting for approximately one-third of all malignant effusions. Breast carcinoma is the second most common. Lymphomas, including both Hodgkin’s disease and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma, are also an important cause of malignant pleural effusions. Tumours less commonly associated with malignant pleural effusions include ovarian and gastrointestinal carcinomas. In 5–10% of malignant effusions, no primary tumour is identified [12, 13]. The incidence of mesothelioma varies according to the geographical location.

Post mortem studies suggest that most pleural metastases arise from tumour emboli to the visceral pleural surface, with secondary seeding to the parietal pleura [1, 20]. Other possible mechanisms include direct tumour invasion (in lung cancers, chest wall neoplasms, and breast carcinoma), haematogenous spread to parietal pleura, and lymphatic involvement. A malignant tumour can cause a pleural effusion, both directly and indirectly. Interference with the integrity of the lymphatic system anywhere between the parietal pleura and mediastinal lymph nodes can result in pleural fluid formation [12, 20]. Direct tumour involvement with the pleura may also contribute to the formation of pleural effusions. Local inflammatory changes in response to tumour invasion may cause increased capillary permeability, with resultant effusions [21].

The term “paramalignant effusions” is reserved for those effusions that are not the direct result of neoplastic involvement of the pleura but are still related to the primary tumour (table 2) [22]. Important examples include: postobstructive pneumonia,
with a subsequent parapneumonic effusion; obstruction of the thoracic duct, with the development of a chylothorax; and transudative effusions secondary to postobstruction atelectasis and/or low plasma oncotic pressures secondary to cachexia. Treatment of the primary tumour can also result in pleural effusions. Important causes in this category include radiation therapy and such drugs as methotrexate, procarbazine, cyclophosphamide, and bleomycin. Finally, concurrent nonmalignant disease, such as congestive heart failure, may account for an effusion seen in a patient with cancer.

### Diagnostic approaches

#### Clinical manifestations

Dyspnoea is the most common presenting symptom in patients with malignant effusions, occurring in more than half the cases [12]. Because of the advanced stage of their primary disease, many patients also present with generalized symptoms such as weight loss, anorexia, and malaise. The pathogenesis of dyspnoea caused by a large pleural effusion has not been clearly elucidated, but several factors may be involved, including a decrease in the compliance of the chest wall, contralateral shifting of the mediastinum, a decrease in the ipsilateral lung volume, and reflex stimulation from the lungs and chest wall [23].

Additional symptoms may be related to specific conditions. Chest pain, commonly seen in mesothelioma, is typically localized to the side of the effusion, and is described as dull and aching rather than pleuritic [24]. A history of haemoptysis in the presence of a pleural effusion is highly suggestive of bronchogenic carcinoma. A prior history of malignancy is obviously important, as are any relevant occupational exposures, especially to asbestos or other carcinogens.

Most patients presenting with malignant effusions have large enough effusions to cause the chest examination to be abnormal. Other clinically relevant findings may include cachexia and adenopathy [12].

#### Imaging techniques

Most patients presenting with malignant pleural effusions have some degree of dyspnoea on exertion and their chest radiographs show moderate-to-large...

### Table 1. – Incidence of malignant pleural effusions (MPEs)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients with MPE (all stages)* %</th>
<th>Patients with disseminated disease or at autopsy with MPE%</th>
<th>Origin of primary tumour from cytology reviews%</th>
<th>Annual cancer deaths in the USA</th>
<th>Estimated cases-yr⁻¹ of MPE in the USA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lung cancer</td>
<td>8–15</td>
<td>25–52</td>
<td>160,000</td>
<td>32,000–73,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
<td>2–12</td>
<td>3–27</td>
<td>44,000</td>
<td>15,840–28,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphoma</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12–22</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>7,250–7,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other malignancies</td>
<td></td>
<td>29–46</td>
<td>330,000</td>
<td>23,600–47,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>29–46</td>
<td>330,000</td>
<td>23,600–47,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* : [2–7]; #: [8–11]; ¶ : [12–16]; § : [17]; ƒ : percentage of patients with MPE (disseminated/autopsy) x cancer deaths; ƒ : assumes 30% of MPE are from “other malignancies”.

### Table 2. – Causes of paramalignant pleural effusions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local effects of tumour</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphatic obstruction</td>
<td>Predominant mechanism for pleural fluid accumulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchial obstruction with pneumonia</td>
<td>Parapneumonic effusion; does not exclude operability in lung cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchial obstruction with atelectasis</td>
<td>Transudate; does not exclude operability in lung cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trapped lung</td>
<td>Transudate; due to extensive tumour involvement of visceral pleura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chylothorax</td>
<td>Disruption of thoracic duct; lymphoma most common cause</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior vena cava syndrome</td>
<td>Transudate; due to increased systemic venous pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic effects of tumour</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary embolism</td>
<td>Hypercoagulable state</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoalbuminemia</td>
<td>Serum albumin &lt;1.5 g·dL⁻¹; associated with anasarca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications of therapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation therapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early</td>
<td>Pleuritis 6 weeks to 6 months after radiation completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late</td>
<td>Fibrosis of mediastinum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constrictive pericarditis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vena caval obstruction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemotherapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methotrexate</td>
<td>Pleuritis or effusion; with or without blood eosinophilia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procarbazine</td>
<td>Blood eosinophilia; fever and chills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclophosphamide</td>
<td>Pleuropericarditis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitomycin/bleomycin</td>
<td>In association with interstitial disease</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
pleural effusions ranging from ~ 500–2,000 mL in volume [12]. While only 10% of patients have massive pleural effusions on presentation, malignancy is the most common cause of massive pleural effusion [25]. Massive pleural effusions are defined as those effusions occupying the entire hemithorax. About 15% of patients, however, will have pleural effusions <500 mL in volume and will be relatively asymptomatic. An absence of contralateral mediastinal shift in these large effusions implies fixation of the mediastinum, mainstem bronchus occlusion by tumour (usually squamous cell lung cancer), or extensive pleural involvement (as seen with malignant mesothelioma).

Computed tomography (CT) of patients with malignancies may identify previously unrecognized small effusions. They may also aid in the evaluation of patients with malignant effusions for mediastinal lymph node involvement and underlying parenchymal disease, as well as in demonstrating pleural, pulmonary, or distant metastases [26]; identification of pleural plaques suggests asbestos exposure. Ultrasonography may aid in identifying pleural lesions in patients with malignant effusions and can be helpful in directing thoracentesis in patients with small effusions and avoiding thoracentesis complications [27]. The role of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in malignant effusions appears limited, but MRI may be helpful in evaluating the extent of chest wall involvement by tumour [28–30]. There is little information available on the utilization of fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (PET) in malignant pleural effusions, although it has been reported as helpful in evaluating the extent of disease in malignant mesothelioma [31].

**Diagnostic thoracentesis**

Malignancy should be considered and a diagnostic thoracentesis performed in any individual with a unilateral effusion or bilateral effusion and a normal heart size on the chest radiograph. It is reasonable to order the following pleural fluid tests when considering malignancy: nucleated cell count and differential, total protein, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), glucose, pH, amylase, and cytology. There are no absolute contraindications to performing thoracentesis. Relative contraindications include a minimal effusion (<1 cm in thickness from the fluid level to the chest wall on a lateral decubitus view), bleeding diathesis, anticoagulation, and mechanical ventilation. There is no increased bleeding in patients with mild-to-moderate coagulopathy or thrombocytopenia (a prothrombin time or partial thromboplastin time up to twice the midpoint normal range and a platelet count of >50,000 µL⁻¹). However, patients with serum creatinine levels of >6.0 mg·dL⁻¹ are at a considerable risk of bleeding [32]. Important complications of thoracentesis include pneumothorax, bleeding, infection, and spleen or liver laceration. Almost all malignant pleural effusions are exudates [33, 34]; a few are, however, transudates. Paramalignant effusions are caused by mediastinal node involvement, endobronchial obstruction with atelectasis, or concomitant nonmalignant disease, most notably congestive heart failure [12, 35, 36]. This does not suggest that every individual with a transudative pleural effusion should have pleural fluid cytological examination. However, in the appropriate clinical setting and the absence of congestive heart failure or a pleural fluid LDH level near the exudative range, determination of pleural fluid cytology is suggested.

Although malignancy is a common cause of bloody effusions, at least half are not grossly haemorrhagic [31]. The pleural fluid nucleated cell count typically shows a predominance of either lymphocytes or other mononuclear cells [37, 38]. The presence of >25% lymphocytes is unusual; pleural fluid eosinophilia does not exclude a malignant effusion [37, 39, 40]. Approximately one-third of malignant effusions have a pleural fluid pH of <7.30 at presentation [41, 42]; this low pH is associated with glucose values of <60 mg·dL⁻¹ [43]. The cause of these low-glucose, low-pH malignant effusions appears to be an increased tumour mass within the pleural space compared with those with a higher pH effusion, resulting in decreased glucose transfer into the pleural space and decreased efflux of the acidic by-products of glucose metabolism, carbon dioxide (CO₂), and lactic acid, due to an abnormal pleural membrane [44, 45]. Malignant effusions with a low pH and glucose concentration have been shown to have a higher initial diagnostic yield on cytological examination, a worse survival, and a worse response to pleurodesis than those with normal pH and glucose [41–45]. However, other investigators have not found an association between pleural fluid pH in malignant effusions and survival or success of pleurodesis [46–50]. A meta-analysis of patient-level data from nine sources encompassing >400 patients, found that pleural fluid pH was an independent predictor of survival. A pleural fluid pH threshold ≤ 7.28 had the highest accuracy for identifying poor 1-, 2-, and 3-month survivals. Only 55% of patients identified by pleural fluid pH ≤7.28, however, die within 3 months. The authors concluded that pleural fluid pH has insufficient predictive accuracy for selecting patients for pleurodesis on the basis of estimated survival [51]. The same investigators also found that pleural fluid pH had only modest predictive value for predicting symptomatic failure from pleurodesis [52]. The pleural fluid pH should be used only in conjunction with the patient’s general health, performance status, primary tumour type, and response to therapeutic thoracentesis, in deciding appropriateness for pleurodesis [51, 53].

Elevated pleural fluid amylase levels (salivary isotype) in the absence of oesophageal rupture greatly increases the likelihood that the pleural effusion is malignant, most commonly adenocarcinoma of the lung [54, 55]. Although once thought to be helpful in the diagnosis of mesothelioma, hyaluron levels have limited diagnostic importance because they can be elevated in other malignant effusions as well as in benign pleural processes [56].

Pleural fluid cytology is the simplest definitive method for obtaining a diagnosis of malignant pleural effusion. The diagnostic yield is dependent on such
factors as extent of disease and the nature of the primary malignancy. Therefore, studies have shown a large variation in diagnostic yields ranging from 62–90% [13, 14, 16, 57, 58]. The diagnostic yield of cytology for mesothelioma is 58%.

Other procedures, such as immunohistochemical staining with monoclonal antibodies to tumour markers and chromosome analysis, have been proposed to aid further in diagnosis. Because of their relatively low sensitivities and specificities, they cannot be relied on for definitive diagnosis; they may nevertheless be of some benefit in certain circumstances. Identification of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) aneuploidy by flow cytometry may add to routine cytology by detecting false negatives in the initial cytological screening, warranting further review by the cytopathologists [59]. Chromosome analysis may be useful in cases of lymphoma and leukaemia [60]. In some cases differentiating between reactive mesothelial cells, mesothelioma, and adenocarcinoma can be problematic. Tumour markers such as carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), Leu-1, and mucin, may be helpful in establishing the diagnosis, as they are frequently positive in adenocarcinomas (50–90%) but rarely seen with mesothelial cells or mesothelioma (0–10%) [61–71].

Closed pleural biopsy

In malignant effusions, closed pleural biopsies are less sensitive than pleural fluid cytology. These blind percutaneous biopsies of the costal (parietal) pleura report a diagnostic yield of 40–75% [15, 57, 58, 72, 73]. If abnormalities of the pleura are identified with CT, as in mesothelioma, a CT-guided biopsy is performed [74]. The relatively low yield of blind pleural biopsy is due to several factors, including early stage of disease with minimal pleural involvement, distribution of tumour in areas not sampled during blind biopsy, and operator inexperience [75]. However, studies have shown that 7–12% of patients with malignant effusions may be diagnosed by pleural biopsy when fluid cytology is negative [15, 58].

Contraindications to pleural biopsy include bleeding diathesis, anticoagulation, chest wall infection, and lack of patient cooperation. Important complications include pneumothorax, haemothorax, and vasovagal reactions. Postbiopsy pneumothoraces are frequently due to air entry from the needle during the procedure and do not often require intervention. A rapid clinical deterioration or increased postprocedure effusion should alert the clinician to possible haemothorax [76].

Medical thoracoscopy

Medical thoracoscopy as compared with surgical thoracoscopy (which is more precisely known as video-assisted thoracic surgery [VATS]; see also surgical biopsy) has the advantage that it can be performed under local anaesthesia or conscious sedation, in an endoscopy suite, using nondisposable rigid instruments. Thus, it is considerably less invasive and less expensive than VATS. The technique is similar to chest tube insertion by means of a trocar, the difference being that, in addition, the pleural cavity can be visualized and biopsies can be taken from all areas of the pleural cavity including the chest wall, diaphragm, mediastinum, and lung. Medical thoracoscopy can be performed either under direct visual control through the optical shaft of the thoracoscope, or indirectly by video transmission, which allows demonstration to assistants and others as well as an appropriate documentation. Medical thoracoscopy is primarily a diagnostic procedure. Indicators for its use include the evaluation of exudative effusions of unknown cause, staging of malignant mesothelioma or lung cancer, and treatment of malignant or other recurrent effusions with talc pleurodesis. Another purpose may be biopsy of the diaphragm, lung, mediastinum, or pericardium [77–79].

In cases of undiagnosed exudative effusions with a high clinical suspicion for malignancy, some clinicians may proceed directly to thoracoscopy if the facilities for medical thoracoscopy are available. The procedure should be performed for diagnosis and possible talc poudrage. Diagnostic yields of nonsurgical biopsy methods for malignant pleural effusions were studied in 208 patients, each of whom underwent all studied procedures [79]. Diagnoses included 58 malignant mesotheliomas, 29 bronchogenic carcinomas, and 116 metastatic pleural effusions (28 breast cancers, 30 cancers of various other organs, and 58 of undetermined origin), and five lymphomas. The diagnostic yield was 62% by pleural fluid cytology, 44% by closed pleural biopsy, and 95% by medical thoracoscopy (fig. 1). The sensitivity of medical thoracoscopy was higher than that of cytology and closed pleural biopsy combined (96 versus 74%, p<0.001). The combined methods were diagnostic in 97% of the malignant pleural effusions. In 6 of the 208 cases (2.8%), an underlying neoplasm was suspected at thoracoscopy, but confirmed only by thoracotomy or autopsy. Similar results have been reported by other investigators [80–83].

The reasons for false-negative thoracoscopy include insufficient and nonrepresentative biopsies that depend largely on the experience of the thoracoscopist [80, 84] and the presence of adhesions that prevent access to neoplastic tissue [77, 80]. Adhesions are often a consequence of repeated therapeutic thoracenteses [77, 85].

![Fig. 1. Malignant pleural effusions: sensitivity (%) of different biopsy methods (cytological and histological results combined). Presented is a prospective simultaneous comparison (n=208).](image-url)
The diagnostic sensitivity of medical thoracoscopy is similar for all types of malignant effusions (Fig. 2). The diagnostic sensitivity in 287 cases was 62% for cytology and 95% for medical thoracoscopy; the sensitivity of cytology and thoracoscopy did not vary among lung carcinomas (67 versus 96%), extra-thoracic primaries (62 versus 96%), and diffuse malignant mesotheliomas (58 versus 92%) [79].

Medical thoracoscopy may be more useful than thoracotomy in staging patients with lung cancer and diffuse malignant mesothelioma. In patients with lung cancer, thoracoscopy can help determine whether the effusion is malignant or paramalignant. As a result, it may be possible to avoid exploratory thoracotomy for tumour staging. Weissberg et al. [86] performed medical thoracoscopy in 45 patients with lung cancer and a pleural effusion, and found pleural invasion in 37, mediastinal disease in three, and no metastatic disease in five (11%) and therefore, no contraindication to resection [86]. Cánto et al. [87] found no thorascoscopic evidence of pleural involvement in eight of 44 patients; six proceeded to resection with no pleural involvement found. A more recent study by Cánto et al. [88] demonstrated that diagnostic sensitivity of malignancy was associated with the size of the effusion.

In diffuse malignant mesothelioma, medical thoracoscopy can provide earlier diagnosis, better histological classification than closed pleural biopsy because of larger and more representative biopsies, and more accurate staging [89–91]. In addition, fibrohyaline or calcified, thick, pearly white pleural plaques may be found, diagnosing benign asbestos pleural effusion (BAPE) and excluding mesothelioma or malignancies [92]. Thorascoscopic lung biopsies, as well as biopsies from lesions on the parietal pleura [93], may demonstrate high concentrations of asbestos fibres, providing further support for a diagnosis of asbestos-induced disease.

A further advantage of medical thoracoscopy in metastatic pleural disease is that biopsies of the visceral and diaphragmatic pleura are possible under direct observation. The thorascopic biopsies can provide easier identification of primary tumour [80], including hormone receptors in breast cancer [94], and improved morphological classification in lymphomas [95].

Medical thoracoscopy is of further value in excluding malignancy and tuberculosis in undiagnosed effusions [79]. After thoracoscopy, <10% of effusions remain undiagnosed [80, 83, 96, 97]; whereas with pleural fluid analysis and closed needle biopsy, more than 20% remained undiagnosed [98–100]. In the few cases in which thoracoscopy is not possible (or diagnosis remains elusive even after thoracoscopy), VATS or exploratory thoracotomy may be indicated [101].

**Bronchoscopy**

The diagnostic yield of bronchoscopy is low in patients with undiagnosed pleural effusions and should not be undertaken routinely [102–104]. However, it is indicated when endobronchial lesions are suspected because of haemoptysis, atelectasis, or large effusions without contralateral mediastinal shift. Bronchoscopy should also be performed to exclude endobronchial obstruction before attempting pleurodesis when there is absence of lung expansion after therapeutic thoracentesis.

**Surgical biopsy**

VATS procedures usually require general anaesthesia and single-lung ventilation. The surgeon may undertake a more extensive procedure than medical thoracoscopy, using several ports, and often combining diagnosis with treatment. VATS is contraindicated and open biopsy is preferred when the patient cannot tolerate single-lung ventilation (e.g. patient undergoing mechanical ventilation, prior contralateral pneumonectomy, or abnormal airway anatomy precluding placement of double-lumen endotracheal tube), if the pleural space contains adhesions that would prevent the safe insertion of the examining thoracoscope, and if there is insufficient expertise to deal with the complications of the procedure [105]. Adhesions may be evident preoperatively on chest radiographs or on pleural ultrasound and may lead to the decision to undertake open biopsy. Often, however, this situation is appreciated for the first time at a VATS examination, and the surgeon must therefore be ready to convert to an open procedure. Adhesions frequently result from previous pleurodesis attempts but may also follow repeated thoracentesis for diagnosis or therapy.

**Treatment**

**Indications and contraindications**

With the diagnosis of a malignant pleural effusion, palliative therapy should be considered, necessitating evaluation of the patient’s symptoms, general health and functional status, and expected survival. The
major indication for treatment is relief of dyspnoea. The degree of dyspnoea is dependent on both the volume of the effusion and the underlying condition of the lungs and pleura.

Therapeutic thoracentesis should be performed in virtually all dyspneic patients with malignant pleural effusions to determine its effect on breathlessness and rate and degree of recurrence. In some dyspneic patients with a large effusion and contralateral mediastinal shift, some clinicians may choose to proceed directly to chest tube drainage and chemical pleurodesis or thoracoscopy with tale poudrage. Rapid recurrence of the effusion dictates the need for immediate treatment; stability and absence of symptoms may warrant observation. If dyspnoea is not relieved by thoracentesis, other causes should be investigated, such as lymphangitic carcinomatosis, atelectasis, thromboembolism, and tumour embolism.

Before attempting pleurodesis, complete lung expansion should be demonstrated. Failure of complete lung expansion occurs with mainstem bronchial occlusion by tumour or trapped lung due to extensive pleural tumour infiltration. If a contralateral mediastinal shift is not observed on the chest radiograph with a large pleural effusion, or the lung does not expand completely after pleural space drainage, an endobronchial obstruction or trapped lung should be suspected and can be diagnosed with bronchoscopy or thoracoscopy, respectively. An initial pleural fluid pressure of $<-10\, \text{cmH}_2\text{O}$ at thoracentesis makes trapped lung likely [43, 106, 107]. Cut-off points of $<-19\, \text{cmH}_2\text{O}$ with the removal of $500\, \text{mL}$ [107] of fluid and of $<-20\, \text{cmH}_2\text{O}$ with the removal of $1\, \text{L}$ of fluid [106] are predictive of trapped lung in the absence of endobronchial obstruction.

Therapeutic thoracentesis

Therapeutic thoracentesis may serve as the primary therapeutic modality in some patients. In patients with far advanced disease, poor performance status, and low pleural fluid $\text{pH}$ ($\text{pH} < 7.2$) relief can be provided by periodic outpatient therapeutic thoracentesis in lieu of hospitalization for more invasive and morbid procedures. Animal studies suggest that pleural effusions tend to increase the volume of the hemithorax more than they compress lung tissue [108]. It is therefore not surprising that after thoracentesis, total lung capacity (TLC) increases by approximately one-third the volume of fluid removed, and the forced vital capacity (FVC) increases by one-half the increase in TLC [109]. The improvement in FVC and TLC after thoracentesis is variable and is greatest in patients with high lung compliance.

Intrapulmonary shunt is the main mechanism underlying the arterial hypoxaemia associated with a large pleural effusion. Thoracentesis has short-term effects on pulmonary gas exchange [110]. The effect on arterial oxygen tension ($P_{a,O_2}$) is variable, and it can increase, remain the same, or decrease [109–112]. After therapeutic thoracentesis, there appears to be delayed lung volume re-expansion, with or without the coexistence of minimal pulmonary oedema [113]. The volume of fluid that can be safely removed from the pleural space during a therapeutic thoracentesis is unknown. Ideally, monitoring of pleural fluid pressure during the procedure should determine that volume. If pleural fluid pressure does not decrease below $-20\, \text{cmH}_2\text{O}$, fluid removal can usually be continued safely [106]. As most clinicians do not measure pleural pressure during therapeutic thoracentesis, it is recommended that only $1–1.5\, \text{L}$ of fluid is removed at one sitting, as long as the patient does not develop dyspnoea, chest pain, or severe cough. When a patient with contralateral mediastinal shift on chest radiograph tolerates thoracentesis without chest tightness, cough, or dyspnoea, removal of several litres of pleural fluid is probably safe. Neither patient nor operator, however, may be aware of a precipitous decrease in pleural pressure. In patients without contralateral or with ipsilateral mediastinal shift, the likelihood of a precipitous fall in pleural pressure is increased, and either pleural pressure should be monitored during thoracentesis or only a small volume of fluid ($<300\, \text{cm}^3$) should be removed. In patients with ipsilateral mediastinal shift, it is unlikely that removal of pleural fluid will result in significant relief of dyspnoea, because there is either mainstem bronchial occlusion or a trapped lung. Re-expansion pulmonary oedema can occur after rapid removal of air or pleural fluid from the pleural space and is not necessarily related to the absolute level of negative pleural pressure. The mechanism of oedema is believed to be increased capillary permeability; the injury may be related to the mechanical forces causing vascular stretching during re-expansion [114] or to ischaemia-reperfusion.

Chemical pleurodesis

Chemical pleurodesis is accepted palliative therapy for patients with recurrent, symptomatic malignant pleural effusions. Various chemicals have been used in an attempt to produce pleurodesis. Adequate assessment of the efficacy of specific chemical agents has been problematic because reported trials have evaluated small numbers of patients, employed different techniques, used conflicting success criteria, and/or monitored subjects for varying periods of time. Progression of disease is variable, and death has sometimes occurred during the first month after pleurodesis. Not all chemical agents have undergone direct comparison under similar conditions in the same patient population. In some studies, adverse effects have been addressed casually, making comparisons difficult.

Walker-RENARD et al. [115] reviewed all published articles in the English language from 1966–1992 describing patients with recurrent, symptomatic malignant pleural effusions who were treated with chemical pleurodesis. A total of 1,168 such patients were analysed for complete success of pleurodesis (defined as nonrecurrence of the effusion, as determined by clinical examination or chest radiograph) and 1,140 patients assessed for drug toxicity. Chemical
Pleurodesis produced a complete response in 752 (64%) of the 1,168 patients. The complete success rate with fibrosing agents (nonantineoplastic drugs) was 75% (557 of 770), compared with a complete success rate of only 44% (175 of 398) for antineoplastic agents. Talc (2.5–10 g) was the most effective agent, with a complete success rate of 93% (153 of 165 patients) (table 3) [115]. The efficacy of talc in the control of malignant pleural effusions has been found to be superior to that of bleomycin and tetracycline [116–118]. The most commonly reported adverse effects were pain and fever. Adverse reactions varied among the different agents (table 4) [115]. If the patient undergoing pleurodesis is receiving corticosteroid therapy, the drug should be stopped or the dose reduced if possible because of concerns of decreased efficacy of pleurodesis [119].

Patients selected for pleurodesis should have significant symptoms that are relieved when pleural fluid is evacuated. There should be evidence of complete re-expansion of the lung without evidence of bronchial obstruction or fibrotic trapped lung. Most commonly, pleurodesis is performed via a standard tube thoracostomy. However, some studies have reported similar success rates with small-bore (3–5 mm) catheters. Ideally, the chest tube is directed posteriorly toward the diaphragm. Radiographical confirmation is then obtained to demonstrate complete re-expansion of the lung in evacuation of the fluid. At this point, intravenous narcotic analgesics and/or sedation are often recommended because of the pain associated with many sclerosing agents. The sclerosing agent of choice is then added to the chest tube, typically in a solution of 50–100 cm$^3$ of sterile saline. The chest tube is then clamped for 1 h, without rotation of the patient being required. The chest tube is then subsequently reconnected to 20 cm H$_2$O suction. It is then recommended that suction be applied to the chest tube until the 24-h output from the chest tube is <150 cm$^3$.

**Doxycycline.** For many years, tetracycline was the sclerosing agent of choice. However, when it became commercially unavailable, alternative agents were investigated. Doxycycline, a tetracycline analogue, has been recommended as a replacement for tetracycline. Although there are no direct studies comparing doxycycline with tetracycline, pleurodesis studies have demonstrated clinical success rates with doxycycline that are similar to those with tetracycline (historical data), with a success rate of up to 80–85% in carefully selected patients [120, 126, 127]. Most studies have recommended the utilization of 500 mg of doxycycline mixed with 50–100 cm$^3$ of sterile saline [120, 127]. As pain is the most common complication associated with doxycycline pleurodesis, narcotic analgesic and/or conscious sedation is often recommended [126].

**Bleomycin.** Another agent frequently recommended for pleurodesis is bleomycin. Most studies have used a dose of 60 IU of bleomycin mixed with 50–100 cm$^3$ of sterile saline. Unlike doxycycline, bleomycin has been directly compared with tetracycline. Most of these studies demonstrated similar or higher success rates when utilizing bleomycin as a sclerosing agent, compared with tetracycline [117, 128, 129]. A direct study comparing doxycycline with bleomycin pleurodesis utilizing a small-bore catheter, demonstrated similar success rates (72% with bleomycin, 79% with doxycycline) [120]. As stated previously, direct studies comparing talc and bleomycin have demonstrated a superior pleurodesis success rate with talc [115, 116, 130]. An important criticism of bleomycin as a sclerosing agent involves its relative expense as compared with other sclerosing agents such as talc or doxycycline [129, 131]. However, studies utilizing small-bore catheters and bleomycin have demonstrated successful pleurodesis [120, 121] and therefore a potential overall cost saving when factors such as hospitalization, duration, and procedure costs are included.

### Table 3. – Complete success rates of commonly used pleurodesis agents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical agent</th>
<th>Total patients</th>
<th>Successful</th>
<th>Successful %</th>
<th>Dose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Talc</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>2.5–10 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Corynebacterium parvum</em></td>
<td>169</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.5–14 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxycycline</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>500 mg (often multiple doses)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetracycline</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>500 mg–20 mg·kg$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleomycin</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>15–240 units</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from [115].

### Table 4. – Adverse effects of commonly used pleurodesis agents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical agent</th>
<th>Total patients</th>
<th>Chest pain</th>
<th>Fever</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Talc</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Corynebacterium parvum</em></td>
<td>169</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxycycline</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetracycline</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleomycin</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from [115].
bacterial count cannot exceed 500 organisms-g\(^{-1}\) of
talc. In one study, bacillus species were cultured from
six different supplies of unsterilized talc; dry heat,
\(\gamma\)-irradiation, and ethylene oxide gas all proved
effective sterilization methods [133]. The cost of sterilizing
a 5-g packet of talc (about 10 cm\(^3\)) is~ £3, £5 or £10 for
dry heat, ethylene oxide, and \(\gamma\)-irradiation, respectively
[114]. Sterilized talc remains culture-negative on the
pharmacy shelf for >1 yr [134].

A review of published series found a 93% success rate
(153 of 165 patients) for talc pleurodesis in the
treatment of pleural effusions, the majority of them
malignant [115]. Success was variably defined in these
studies but was based primarily on 16 clinical criteria
or radiographical findings. In some studies, complete
and persistent absence of fluid was the determinant,
where as in others, the lack of need for further pleural
drainage was the sole criterion. Follow-up times were
also variable, and in these studies, doses ranged
1–14 g. When analysed by the method of administration,
poudrage and slurry pleurodesis methods
resulted in similar success rates of 91%; 418 of 461
for talc poudrage and 168 of 185 for slurry [116–118,
135–137]. In a small series of 57 patients randomized
to receive talc slurry through a chest tube or talc
poudrage with VATS, using 5 g of talc, no significant
difference was found in recurrence: one of 28 with
poudrage and three of 29 with slurry [138]. A large
randomized multicentre trial addressing the efficacy of
talc poudrage versus talc slurry is near completion in
the North American Cooperative Oncology Groups.

A defined rate of clinically important complications
was observed with thoracoscopy talc poudrage and
no deaths were related to the procedure in a series of
360 patients [139]. A similarly low rate of complications
was observed by Viallat et al. [140], who used
either local anaesthesia plus conscious sedation or
general anaesthesia in a two-center study that
included 360 patients. Fever up to 102.4°F after talc
pleurodesis has been reported to occur in 16–69% of
patients [141]. Fever characteristically occurs 4–12 h
after talc instillation and may last for 2 h. Empyema
has been reported with talc slurry in 0–11% of
procedures, whereas talc poudrage is associated with
an incidence rate of 0–3% of patients [141]. Local
site infection is uncommon, and the degree of pain
associated with talc has reportedly ranged from
nonexistent to severe.

Cardiovascular complications such as arrhythmias,
cardiac arrest, chest pain, myocardial infarction, or
hypotension have been noted; whether these complica-
tions result from the procedures or are related to
talc \(per se\) has not been determined. Acute respiratory
distress syndrome (ARDS), acute pneumoni-
is, and respiratory failure have also been reported to
occur after both talc poudrage and slurry [141]. It is
doubtful that the method of administration (poudrage
versus slurry) plays a major role in the development of
respiratory failure, although the dose and particle size
of talc may be important. In an experimental study
using talc slurry, Kennedy et al. [142] found
prominent perivascular infiltrates with mononuclear
inflammation in the underlying lung, and it was
speculated that mediators might spread through the
pulmonary circulation after application of the sclero-
sing agent [142]. Other possible causes of acute
respiratory failure with talc pleurodesis include sepsis
due to nonsterile or endotoxin-containing talc, excess-
ive talc dosing, active air leak, excessive periproce-
dure medications, severe underlying lung disease, and
re-expansion pulmonary oedema.

Twenty-two to 35 yrs after talc poudrage of
pneumothorax, TLC averaged 89% of predicted in
46 patients, whereas TLC was 97% of predicted in 29
patients treated with tube thoracostomy alone [143].
None of the poudrage group developed mesothelioma
over the 22- to 35-yr follow-up. Although talc
poudrage may result in minimally reduced total
capacity, as well as pleural thickening on chest
radiography, these changes appear to be clinically
unimportant. Short-term follow-up after talc poudr-
age for pneumothorax revealed no difference in lung
function when compared with other patients who had
thoracotomy without talc poudrage [144, 145]. A link
between talc and cancer has been reported in those
who mine and process talc [146], but this association
is attributed to asbestos, which is commonly found with
talc, rather than to talc itself. No increase in lung
cancers was found in a group of patients who had talc
pleurodesis for pneumothorax and had long-term
follow-up [147].

Talc is an inexpensive and highly effective pleuro-
desis agent when administered by either poudrage or
slurry in patients with malignant pleural effusions.
The most common short-term adverse effects include
fever and pain. Development of respiratory failure is
reported and may be related to dose and particle size,
or other factors related to its instillation [148, 149].
Investigation of this issue is ongoing and physicians
and patients should be aware of a potential for
respiratory failure, which has not been described with
other agents. Long-term safety does not appear to be
an issue with the asbestos-free product, especially in
patients with malignant pleural effusions. Because the
response to talc has not been studied over a wide dose
range and serious adverse effects tend to occur with
higher doses [150], it is recommended that no more
than 5 g of talc be used, and that bilateral simulta-
neous pleurodesis not be attempted.

Talc poudrage. The most widely reported method of
talc instillation into the pleural space for malignant
effusion is talc poudrage, which is usually performed
under thoracoscopic guidance. Talc poudrage can be
performed by medical thoracoscopic under local
anaesthesia with conscious sedation or by VATS.

Several technical details should be taken into
account in order to achieve good pleurodesis and
avoid complications. All pleural fluid should be
removed before spraying talc. Removal can be easily
accomplished during thoracoscopy, as air is passively
entering the pleural cavity, thus creating a desirable
equilibrium in pressures. Complete collapse of the
lung is important, affording a good view of the pleural
cavity and the opportunity to biopsy suspicious
lesions and also permitting wide distribution of the
talc.

Although an optimal dose of talc for poudrage has
not been established, ~5 g (8–12 mL) is usually recommended for malignant effusions. After talc insufflation, repeat inspection of the pleural cavity should be done to ensure that the powder has been evenly distributed over the pleural surface. An 8–11 mm chest tube should always be inserted. Graded and progressive suction should be applied and maintained until the amount of fluid aspirated per day is <100 mL. Air leak can occur in patients with necrotic tumour nodules in the visceral pleura, especially those with prior chemotherapy, even if no biopsies of this area have been taken.

On average, reported success with talc poudrage is >90% but, as previously noted, reliable guidance on doses remains elusive, and definitions of success have not been standardized [151, 152].

**Talc slurry.** Talc slurry is also an effective pleurodesis agent in malignant effusions [136, 138]. Potential disadvantages of slurry include lack of uniform distribution, accumulation in dependent areas of the pleural space possibly leading to incomplete pleurodesis and loculations, and decreased direct contact time with the pleural surface due to the liquid suspension with subsequent decrease in effectiveness. The slurry is made by mixing talc with normal saline and gently agitating. Various volumes of saline have been used, ranging from 10–250 mL [136, 138]. The pleurodesis technique is the same as for the soluble chemical agents [153]. It is recommended that administration of small doses of an intravenous narcotic and anxiolytic-antimetic agent before the procedure. The chest should be drained as completely as possible by tube thoracostomy. Standard chest tubes (6–8 mm) or small-bore catheters (3–4 mm) have been used successfully for talc slurry pleurodesis [123, 124]. A dose of 4–5 g of talc in 50 mL of normal saline should be instilled through the chest tube when the radiograph demonstrates an absence or minimal amount of pleural fluid and complete lung expansion. The chest tube should be clamped for 1 h after talc slurry instillation. It is unclear whether talc slurry disperses as rapidly throughout the pleural space, compared with tetracycline [154, 155]. Therefore, patient rotation is recommended until definitive studies are available. After unclamping of the chest tube, the patient should be maintained on -20 cmH₂O suction; the chest tube should be removed when the 24-h tube drainage is <100–150 mL. If, after 48–72 h, chest tube drainage remains excessive (∼250 mL/24 h), talc instillation, at the same dose used initially, should be repeated.

**Treatment of pleurodesis failure**

Initial failure of pleurodesis can occur as a result of suboptimal techniques or inappropriate patient selection (e.g. a patient with a trapped lung or mainstem bronchial occlusion). Recurrence after pleurodesis is unusual with talc but does occur occasionally, usually soon after attempted pleurodesis.

When initial pleurodesis for malignant pleural effusion fails, several alternatives may be considered. Repeat pleurodesis may be performed either with instillation of sclerosants through a chest tube or by thoracoscopy and talc poudrage. Repeat thoracentesis would be the choice for a terminal patient with short expected survival. Pleuroperitoneal shunting or pleurectomy may be suitable for patients whose clinical condition is reasonably good and who have experienced pleurodesis failure. Other alternatives in failed pleurodesis include tube drainage into a bag.

**Other treatments**

**Systemic therapy.** In patients with symptomatic malignant pleural effusions due to tumours likely to respond to chemotherapy, such as small-cell lung cancer, systemic treatment should be started if no contraindications exist and it may be combined with therapeutic thoracentesis or pleurodesis. Neoplasms that tend to be chemotherapy responsive include breast cancer (hormone treatment may also be appropriate), small-cell lung cancer, and lymphoma. Effusions associated with prostate, ovarian, thyroid, and germ-cell neoplasms may also be chemotherapy responsive. When systemic treatment options are unavailable or contraindicated, or systemic treatment is or has become ineffective, local therapy such as pleurodesis may be applied.

**Surgery.** Major surgical procedures, such as parietal pleurectomy, decortication, or pleuropneumonectomy, performed alone, have proved to provide neither superior palliation nor prospects for cure, compared with pleurodesis alone. Surgical palliation may, however, be achieved with talc pleurodesis and/or the insertion of a pleuropertitoneal shunt [156]; such approaches may be undertaken by VATS or limited thoracotomy. Pleurodesis may fail if there is a cortex of malignant tissue covering the pleural surfaces. The cortex may be removable by converting to an open thoracotomy, and pleurodesis may then prove possible. This procedure has a reported perioperative mortality of 12%, and therefore patient selection is important [157].

If expansion of the lung is inadequate after removal of an effusion due to a cortex of malignant tissue or fibrosis, a pleuroperitoneal shunt should be inserted. Such a situation may be suggested by lack of mediastinal shift on perioperative radiographs or may be seen only at surgery. A shunt should be readily available when undertaking such treatment [156]. Shunt complications, chiefly occlusion, will occur in 12% of patients, and such occlusion is treated by shunt replacement [158], unless infection is confirmed. In that case, long-term drainage with a chest tube is indicated. The possibility of inducing peritoneal seeding with a pleuropertitoneal shunt is a potential risk but has not been convincingly documented, and in this group of patients, there is no established alternative treatment.

**Intrapleural therapy.** When the malignancy is localized in the pleural cavity, intrapleural chemotherapy may
treat the underlying neoplasm in addition to controlling the effusion [159, 160]. To obtain maximal anticancer activity with minimal systemic side effects, however, a high intrapleural concentration with minimal systemic spread of the antineoplastic agent is required. To meet these requirements, several authors have proposed including cytostatic drugs in poly-ε-lactic acid microspheres [161].

Active cytokines may be instilled directly into the pleural space. Interleukin-2 (IL-2), interferon-β, and interferon-γ (IFN-γ) have been tried, with variable success, in the treatment of malignant pleural effusion and mesothelioma [162–167]. It is not clear whether the observed responses are due to intrinsic sclerosing activity or, instead, to an immunological effect such as an increased natural killer cell population. Thus, the results of phase II intrapleural therapy studies to date have been inconclusive, because most of these evaluations have been based on radiographic findings or cytological examination of the pleural fluid. There are few studies using endoscopic staging for malignancy involving the pleura [164].

Other potential candidates for intrapleural therapy include patients with malignant effusion and an unknown primary tumour. Many of these tumours probably originate from small subpleural carcinomas [168], a condition sometimes termed "pseudomesotheliomatous carcinoma of the lung." Such carcinomas demonstrate a characteristic growth pattern of peripheral adenocarcinoma of the lung with extensive pleural growth and little peripheral parenchymal involvement and, therefore, may be ideal targets for attempts at local therapy.

**Malignant pleural effusions in specific diseases**

**Lung carcinoma**

Lung carcinoma is the leading cause of malignant pleural effusions. Malignant effusions are observed in 7–15% of all bronchogenic carcinomas at some time during the course of the illness [2, 3, 13, 169]. Effusions occur with all histological types, most frequently with adenocarcinoma [12, 87]. The published occurrences have been obtained by evaluation of standard chest radiographs and would undoubtedly be more numerous if ultrasound and CT were used to define the presence of effusions.

The presence of pleural effusion typically signals an advanced stage of disease and is therefore associated with poor prognosis. In some cases, however, the pleura itself is not involved in tumour growth. These accompanying paramalignant effusions are due to postobstructive pneumonia or atelectasis, venous obstruction by tumour compression, or lymphatic obstruction by mediastinal lymph nodes, and are not associated with direct pleural involvement. Such patients are few in number, but if pleural cytology is negative, the clinician should explore additional diagnostic avenues, including CT, pleural biopsy, medical thoracoscopy, or surgical procedures (VATS/open biopsy) [170].

The prognosis of patients with nonsmall cell lung cancer and paramalignant effusion is comparable to that for those in the same stage without pleural effusion [87, 169]. This is also true for small-cell lung cancers where there is limited disease, with or without pleural effusion. Pleural effusions with positive cytology for small-cell lung carcinoma constitute a worse prognosis for patients with otherwise limited disease without malignant effusion [171]. In nonsmall cell lung cancer at an advanced, inoperable stage, talc pleurodesis should be considered [172, 173]. With a large pleural effusion and suspicion of tumour obstruction of the central bronchi, suggested by absence of contralateral mediastinal shift and supported by CT findings, bronchoscopy should be performed first and the obstruction removed (e.g. by laser), permitting lung re-expansion after fluid removal.

Systemic chemotherapy is the treatment of choice in small-cell lung cancer, where the pleural effusion often resolves without the need for local treatment [171]. Pleurodesis is indicated only when chemotherapy is contraindicated or ineffective.

**Mesothelioma**

Median survival of patients with mesothelioma is 6–18 months. Unfortunately, the clinical course is not significantly affected by current therapeutic manoeuvres. Most commonly, the cause of death is local extension and/or respiratory failure. Distant metastatic disease resulting from haematogenous spread may also be present, typically at the end stage [174–176].

A poor prognosis is indicated by histological type (i.e. sarcomatous or mixed histology), thrombocytosis, fever of unknown origin, age >65 yrs, and poor Karnofsky index. A more favourable prognosis is associated with epithelial histology, stage I disease (particularly if the disease is localized to the parietal pleura), absence of chest pain, and the presence of symptoms for <6 months before diagnosis [90, 177].

Single-modality therapy for mesothelioma has been disappointing. High-dose external beam irradiation, intrapleural administration of radioactive isotopes, and various chemotherapeutic regimens have shown no significant effect on overall survival. Nor is there proof that a surgical approach alone improves patient survival. To be curative, resection must include the pleura (in stage Ia), lung (in stage Ib, II, III) and, often, the diaphragm, the pericardium, and a portion of the chest wall (extrapleural pneumonectomy). In spite of careful selection (age <60 yrs, early-stage disease, favourable epithelial type), the 5-yr survival rate is only 11% [175, 178, 179].

In light of this outlook, there has been ongoing focus on multimodality therapy [180, 181]. A combination of parietal pleurectomy with postoperative intrapleural therapy and/or external beam irradiation resulted in median survival of 22.5 months and a 2-yr survival rate of 41% in a selected group of 27 patients, predominantly with the epithelial subtype [181]. Early-stage disease appears to be the key factor in treatment success. In stage I, and especially in stage Ia
(without involvement of the visceral pleura), the disease is still intrapleural and thus can be treated by intrapleural therapy. Although they are still not available on the market, there have been promising results with interferon and IL-2 intrapleural injections made via an implantable port [165, 166, 182]. The best indication for intrapleural treatment is stage Ia (or Ib) in epithelial-type mesothelioma, with nodules or thickening ≤5 mm, in patients whose general status is still good.

In patients with stage II and III mesothelioma, there is no randomized study showing the superiority of any one treatment compared with another; the practitioner has a choice between two alternatives. One is a multimodality treatment, including radical surgery, radiation therapy, and chemotherapy. The result of this approach is largely related to the expertise and experience of the involved surgeons, so that a surgical mortality rate as low as possible (range, 4–8%) is maintained. The second is medical treatment: talc pleurodesis if necessary, preventive radiation therapy, and combined chemotherapy [183]. In patients with stage IV disease, only conservative, palliative treatment to control pain is indicated.

Breast carcinoma

Breast carcinoma is the second-ranking cause of malignant pleural effusion. About 7–11% of patients with breast carcinoma develop a malignant pleural effusion during the course of the disease [4–6]. In 43% of those patients, the effusion is the first symptom of metastatic disease [6]; the time from initial diagnosis until the development of pleural effusion averages 41.5 months (range, 0–246 months) [137]. In a review of seven autopsy series, the pleura was affected in about one-half of 2,050 cases (range, 36–65%) [10]. Higher tumour stages at the time of initial diagnosis [136], as well as chest wall recurrences [6], were associated more often with pleural effusion.

Besides the rare direct invasion through the chest wall, the pathogenesis of pleural involvement in breast carcinoma is through either lymphatic or haematogenous spread. Fentiman et al. [137] found, in 99 patients with unilateral breast tumours and pleural effusions, that 50% of the effusions were ipsilateral, 40% were contralateral, and 10% were bilateral; Raju and Kardinal [184], however, observed ipsilateral effusions in 85 of 122 patients.

The yield from cytological examination of the effusion is usually higher than with other tumours [185], so that pleural biopsy or medical thoracoscopy is rarely indicated. Determination of hormone receptor status in the pleural tissue may be helpful in guiding hormonal therapy [94].

In differential diagnosis, it is important to exclude pleural effusions caused by postoperative radiotherapy, which usually occur during the first 6 months and are commonly accompanied by radiation pneumonitis; they usually resolve spontaneously over several months [186].

Recommended treatment of metastatic pleural effusion with breast carcinoma differs from that for other tumour types. Chemotherapy with cytotoxic agents and/or hormones may be effective [137, 187, 188]. If those approaches do not relieve symptoms, local treatment options must be considered.

Median survival after the appearance of metastatic pleural effusions in one series of 105 patients was 13 months (range, 0–72 months), without taking into consideration the different treatment modalities and other factors [137]. Raju and Kardinal [184], in their study of 122 patients, observed a median survival of only 6 months after the onset of pleural effusion. Survival times are undoubtedly strongly related to the presence of additional metastatic manifestations; in another study, median survival of patients whose pleural effusions were the only evidence of recurrent malignancy (n=10) was 48 months, whereas median survival of those with other evident sites of disseminated disease (n=35) was only 12 months [188].

Lymphoma, leukaemia, and multiple myeloma

Approximately 10% of malignant pleural effusions are due to lymphoma. According to reports in the early 1940s, in Hodgkin’s disease, pleural effusions develop in 16% of patients and pleural thickening in 7%; the figures were, 15% and 11% in non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma and 2% and 4% in leukaemia, respectively [11]. Later observations have differed. Of 4,500 Mayo Clinic patients with lymphoma, only 7% had pleural effusions [7]. In other studies, the incidence of effusion in Hodgkin’s disease has been variously reported as 5% [189], 28%, or 33% [190].

Pleural effusion usually develops in the later stages of the disease, with dyspnoea the chief symptom in 63% [9], and occasionally it may be the only symptom [191]. The main cause of effusion, which may be unilateral or bilateral, is obstruction of the lymphatic drainage by enlarged mediastinal lymph nodes in Hodgkin’s disease and by direct tumour infiltration of the parietal or visceral pleura in non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma [11, 192–194]. The effusion is usually an exudate but may occasionally have transudative characteristics. Effusions may be serous, haemorrhagic, or chylous [194, 195]; non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma is the most common cause of chylothorax [195, 196].

The cytological yield lies between 31–55% [197], with the lowest yield reported in Hodgkin’s disease [193, 194]. Chromosome analysis has high sensitivity, about 85% [198]; results obtained by medical thoracoscopy are superior [58, 191]. Clonality can also be demonstrated via flow cytometry. Effusions can also result from radiation of the mediastinum or from obstruction of lymphatic drainage of the pleural space due to mediastinal fibrosis, constrictive pericarditis, or superior vena cava obstruction. This may occur a year or two after radiotherapy [199] and may also result in a chylous effusion [200]. Average survival time after the first thoracentesis is short, 6 or 7 months, but there may be a wide range [7, 41]. The presence of malignant cells in the effusion is associated with a poor prognosis.

The treatment of choice is systemic chemotherapy. Pleurodesis by talc poudrage combined with
parenteral alimentation, in order to reduce chyle production, may be necessary when chemotherapy fails [201]. Mediastinal radiation may be useful when there is mediastinal node involvement and may be effective in chylothorax [195]. In patients with chylothorax, pleuropertoneal shunt may be a good approach in failed therapy, as it can recirculate the chyle [202].

Multiple myeloma is an infrequent cause of malignant pleural effusion, which occurs in ~ 6% of cases [189, 203]. High pleural protein values, in the range of 8–9 g·L⁻¹, are suggestive of this diagnosis. Electrophoresis and immuno-electrophoresis of pleural fluid may be diagnostically characteristic [204]. Infiltration of the chest wall is usually present, due to invasion from adjacent skeletal lesions (ribs, sternum, and vertebrae), but pleuropulmonary infiltration may also originate from soft tissue plasmocytoma of the chest wall or from direct involvement. With pleural immunocytoma from Waldenström’s macroglobulinaemia, pleural effusion is a rare manifestation [205].

Factors affecting prognosis

According to several studies, the best correlation for pleurodesis outcome and overall survival are pleural fluid pH and glucose [41–45]. However, a meta-analysis of >400 patients found a poor predictive value for success of pleurodesis [52]. The patient’s general health status and tumour type should be considered in deciding appropriateness for pleurodesis. Because pleural fluid glucose is usually more sensitive to fluctuations in serum than pH, the predictive value of glucose is lower than that of pH. In one prospective study, measurement of the elastance of the pleural space was associated with pleurodesis outcome [107].

Quality of life of patients with malignant effusions should be evaluated with regard to those symptoms that are related to the effusion itself. Relief of dyspnoea remains the primary objective for most patients. Ideally, therapy should minimize discomfort, as well as limit hospitalization time, in these patients with an often limited life span. However, an important aspect in any treatment is prevention of reoccurrence of the symptomatic effusion. Finally, pain relief is another important quality-of-life issue, which must be addressed. This is particularly true for patients with mesothelioma, whose primary complaint is often pain instead of dyspnoea.

Future directions for research

Definitions of success or failure of pleurodesis

Uniform criteria for evaluating the results of pleurodesis in future studies are badly needed. The following definitions are proposed:

**Partially successful pleurodesis.** Diminution of dyspnoea related to the effusion, with only partial reaccumulation of fluid (<50% of the initial radiographic evidence of fluid), with no further therapeutic thoracenteses required for the remainder of the patient’s life.

**Failed pleurodesis: Lack of success (as defined earlier).** Comparative studies of different pleurodesis techniques should evaluate outcomes using time-to-event analyses censoring patients who are lost to follow-up. Data should be reported with and without inclusion of patients who die within 1 month of pleurodesis.

Prospects for clinical studies

There are few data on which the clinician can confidently rely on, making important therapeutic decisions in the management of malignant pleural effusions. Most urgently needed are well-designed prospective studies that will: 1) Determine the course of small, asymptomatic malignant pleural effusions with and without treatment. Because late pleurodesis attempts are more likely to fail than earlier interventions, it might be suggested that pleurodesis simply be performed at an early stage, once the malignant nature of the effusion is known. Many of these patients, however, have few symptoms attributable to the effusion itself and are not likely to seek relief or treatment for it. Prospective studies are therefore needed to provide reliable management guidelines. 2) Assess tale slurry pleurodesis versus tacle poudrage via thoracoscopy, with particular attention to optimal dosage, the use of intrapleural analgesics such as lidocaine, and patient positioning during tale slurry procedures. 3) Explain the systemic complications and side effects of tale pleurodesis, especially potential triggering of coagulation in the systemic circulation. Because it is likely that this untoward event occurs with other sclerosing agents as well, such information would be useful in developing preventive measures. 4) Explore and clarify the potential role of intrapleural therapeutic interventions, including not only chemotherapeutic agents but also such immune modulators as cytokines and interferon. As observed in the earlier discussion of this topic, employment of this modality has been largely hit-and-miss; randomized studies are needed to determine optimal application of agents, both singly and in combination, and the effect of various approaches on survival. 5) Identify dependable tumour-related markers of malignant pleural effusion. Markers that would help the clinician differentiate, for example, between reactive mesothelial cells, mesotheliomas, and metastatic adenocarcinomas would be especially valuable.

Gene therapy

In the absence of other effective, nontoxic therapies for malignant mesothelioma, several groups of investigators have turned to the newly evolving technology of gene therapy for new treatment modalities [206, 207].
One approach is the intrapleural administration of replication-deficient recombinant adenovirus (rAd) that has been genetically engineered to contain the herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase gene (HSV tk) [206]. It is hoped that delivery of rAdHSV tk directly into the pleural cavity of patients with mesothelioma will transduce the tumour cells, enabling them to express viral thymidine kinase and conveying sensitivity to the normally nontoxic antiviral drug ganciclovir. A phase I dose escalation clinical trial of adenovirus-mediated intrapleural HSV tk-glanciclovir gene therapy demonstrated that the HSV tk gene is well tolerated and results in detectable gene transfer when delivered at high doses. Further development of therapeutic trials for the treatment of localized malignancy is warranted [206, 207].

**Cellular basis of malignant effusions and pleurodesis**

Pleural metastases with malignant effusions are common to many neoplasms, but the mechanisms of localization to the pleura remain poorly understood. Important processes in the formation of pleural metastases (e.g. adhesion, migration, propagation, and angiogenesis) are likely mediated via mesothelial cell-neoplastic cell interactions. Although mechanisms by which neoplastic invasiveness and metastases have been extensively studied, the particular intracellular events that lead to pleural metastases are poorly understood. Many systems may influence remodelling of the neoplastic stroma and neoplastic growth in the pleural compartment. In particular, the procoagulant and fibrinolytic systems have been linked to the spread of malignant mesothelioma [208, 209]. The urokinase-urokinase receptor system has been shown to relate to the invasiveness of malignant mesothelioma cells [210, 211], recapitulating the findings in several other types of cancer. Other systems are no doubt crucial to the development and propagation of pleural malignancies and these remain to be elucidated. Understanding the mechanisms propelling metastases to the pleura and their growth is essential if effective therapy is to be developed.

Instillation of a sclerotic agent into the pleural space of a patient with malignant pleural disease involves intimate and immediate contact of the sclerosing agent with both normal mesothelial cells lining the surface of the pleural cavity and the invading malignant cells. Rapid changes in the pleural fluid cellular and cytokine milieu ensue, leading to either success or failure of pleurodesis. The balance of factors that predispose the patient for success or failure of pleurodesis needs to be clearly defined.

Several sclerotic agents, including some nonchemotherapeutic agents, may have a direct effect on the malignant tumour cells, such as initiation of the events leading to programmed cell death (apoptosis) of the tumour cell.
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